Wastewater research and alternatives

Jay W. Brown
Captiva Community Panel
Wastewater Committee
Public Meeting
Jan. 28, 2020

AGENDA

- Septic System Primer
- Review of Dr. Tomasko's Research
- Central Sewer System Alternatives

SEPTIC SYSTEM PRIMER

- What Pollutants Concern Us?
- How Does A Septic System (OSTDS) Operate?
 - How Can Septic Systems Be A Problem?
 - Properly Used, Septic SystemsCan Be Very Effective!

POLLUTANTS

Health Hazards

Pathogens That Harm Human Health

Nutrients

- Promote Growth Of Undesirable
 Organisms Such As Algae
 - Nitrogen, Phosphorous

SEPTIC SYSTEM PROCESS

- Septic System Discharges Tank Effluent
 Via Drainfield Into Soil
- Septic Tank Effluent Is Processed "Naturally" In Soil
 - Below the Topsoil is Groundwater (Saturated Soil)
 - Effluent Should Be Processed
 Before Reaching Groundwater
 - Otherwise, Groundwater Spreads
 Untreated Effluent

SEPTIC SYSTEM ISSUE

- Amount of Drainfield/Groundwater
 Separation is Very Important
 - Generally, 24 Inches is
 Standard Minimum Separation
 - Often, <u>More</u> Separation is Recommended or Required
 - FDOH: Septic Systems Generally Remove 30% of Tank Nutrients

(Source – TKW 2018)

CAPTIVA WASTEWATER RESEARCH

David Tomasko, Ph.D.

Ph.D. in Biology – University of South Florida 30 Years' Experience on Water Quality Projects Manager, SWF Water Management District, Environmental Section

Environmental Science Associates

Employee-Owned Environmental Consulting firm 500+ Scientists, Planners, Engineers Local Governments 90% of Client Base

Recommendation & Assistance From Sanibel & SCCF

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- What Are the Environmental and Health Impacts of Captiva's Septic Systems?
 - Present Day and Long Term?
 - Importance Vs. Other Threat Sources?
 - How Quantifiable?
 - Present Factual Findings to Aid Community Decision-Making
 - No Bias and No Advocacy

METHODOLOGY

- Reviewed 2011 SCCF Captiva Water Quality Research
- Reviewed Wastewater Treatment Data in 2018 TKW Study
- Detailed Examination of Permits/Other Info for 6o+ Captiva OSTDS
- Determined Actual Drain Field/Groundwater Separation at 40+ Sites
 - Lab Analyzed Pathogen Presence in Stormwater Samples
 - Reviewed Related Scientific Literature and Regulatory Guidance
 - Constructed Models to Quantify Pollutant Impacts
 - Final Report Presented November 2019

MAJOR CONCLUSIONS

Widespread Lack of Compliance
 With FDOH Guidance for Septic Use

(Lot Size, Coastal Water Setback, Drain Field/ Water Table Separation)

- Insignificant Present-Day Health and Water Quality Impacts
- Significant Long-Term Risk Due to Sea Level Rise
 - Storm Water Runoff Should Be Major Present-Day Concern

FLORIDA DEPT. OF HEALTH

Half-Acre Minimum Lot Size

Most Lots in Village Less Than 1/2 Acre

Minimum 75' Coastal Water Setback

Many Setbacks Less Than 75' in Tween Waters And Roosevelt Channel Areas

Minimum 24" Drainfield/Groundwater Separation

Many Systems (Roosevelt Channel & Village)
Have Less than 24" Separation

(Note: 24" Separation Mandatory For Permits)

WATER QUALITY IMPACTS

- 2011 SCCF Research Collected Groundwater Samples Across Captiva
 - Samples Were Collected From Both Septic and Non-Septic Areas
 - Samples Were Analyzed for Presence of "Nutrients" That Promote Pollutant Growth
 - Nutrients in Septic Area Samples Were Far Below Septic Tank Nutrient Content
 - Nutrients in Septic Tanks Are Not Reaching Groundwater In Significant Quantities
 - Probable Explanation is Processes of Nitrification and Denitrification
 - Tomasko's Nitrogen-loading Model Indicates
 Minimal Nutrient Load to Coastal Waters

WATER QUALITY IMPACTS

CONCLUSION:

Captiva Septic Systems Are Not Significantly Impacting Coastal Water Quality Today

(Note: SCCF Samples Collected 2008)

HEALTH IMPACTS

- If Septic Tanks Were Leaking Pathogens, Evidence Should Be Found in Storm Drains
- Water Samples Collected from Storm Drain Areas
 April 15, 2019, and June 25, 2019
- Samples Lab Analyzed for Presence of Pathogen Indicators
 - Human Source Pathogen Indicators
 Were Not Present in Samples
 - SCCF 2008 Water Samples Show Higher Presence of Fecal Indicator Bacteria in Nearshore Waters Than in Septic System Groundwater Areas

HEALTH IMPACTS

CONCLUSION

Septic Systems Not Likely Creating Human Health Risks <u>Today</u>

> Note: High Levels of Non-Human Source Pathogen Indicators in Drain Samples!

LONG TERM IMPACTS

- NOAA Projects Local Area 1.3' Sea Level Rise For 2050 (Intermediate High Scenario)
 - Groundwater Will Rise in Tandem With Sea Level Rise
- Few Captiva Septic Systems Will Have Adequate 24" Clearance
 (None In Village)
 - Many Septic Drain Fields Will Be <u>IN</u> Groundwater
 - Drain Fields Will Not Operate Properly
 - Septic Tank Backups/Plumbing Failures
 - Significant Pollution Risk Increase

CAN SEPTICS COPE WITH SLR?

- Increase Drain Field Mounds How Feasible in Dense Village Area?
 - Convert to Performance Systems that Process Waste in Tank
 - Cost/Feasibility of Performance-Based Processing Will Vary by Property
 - May Be Possible to Retrofit Some Conventional Systems
 - New Performance System = \$30,000 + Existing System Removal
 - Overall Coping Challenge Will Vary Property by Property
 - Failures Can Be "Sudden" -- Adaptations May Have "Lead Times"

CAN SEPTICS COPE WITH SLR?

KEY ISSUE

Can Property-by-Property Adaptations Result In Community-wide Success?

OTHER POLLUTION SOURCES

- Lake O/River Releases, FGUA Plant, On-Island Package Plants, Stormwater Runoff
- Nutrient-loading Models Developed to Estimate Impacts
 - Nutrient Load from River Has Greatest Impact
 - Local Source Pollutants Can Exacerbate Nearshore River Impacts
 - Storm Water Runoff Today Has
 Biggest Local Source Impact by Far

STORM WATER RUNOFF

- Tomasko Models Show Stormwater Runoff Is Greatest Source of Nearshore Pollution
 - Similar Conclusion Reached in 2011 SCCF Water Quality Study
 - High Presence of Non-Human Source Bacteria in Drains Confirms Importance
 - Captiva Has No Storm Water
 Management Plan
 - That Should Be a Priority!

CONCLUSION

Comments by Dr. Tomasko

Discussion/Q&A

CAPTIVA COMMUNITY PANEL

- Investigate Wastewater Alternatives
 - Present Facts Without Advocacy
- Community Decides Strategy Democratically
- Possible Creation of Municipal Services Taxing District
 - Majority Approval of Service Area Property Owners
 - Notarized Approval... 50% + 1

TONIGHT'S MEETING PRESENT CENTRAL SEWER ALTERNATIVES AND COSTS

What Has Been Learned Since Last Winter's Meeting

WASTEWATER PROCESSING

Total Parcels – 1,020

WWTP Parcels – 642

(South Seas, Tween Waters, Captiva Shores, Sunset Captiva)

OSTDS Parcels – 378

Estimated Residential vs. Commercial Usage – 71%/29%

(Village – 83%/17%)

(Source: TKW 2018)

SEPTIC SYSTEMS (OSTDS)

Conventional – 224

Not Permitted (Assumed Conventional) – 73

Advanced - 81

Total – 378

Conventional Systems Rely on Soil to Process Pollutants

Advanced Systems Process Pollutants In Tank Before Discharge

(Source: TKW 2018)

RESIDENTIAL PARCELS 993 TOTAL PARCELS

South Seas - 546 Parcels, all WWTP

Village – 288 Parcels (200 OSTDS, 88 WWTP)

Tween Waters Stretch - 47 Parcels, all OSTDS

Estates – 112 Parcels, all OSTDS

(Source: TKW 2018)

CENTRAL SEWER COMPONENTS

COLLECTION SYSTEM

Main Sewer Line + Lift Stations

PROCESSING FACILITY

Effluent Treatment + Disposal

PROCESSING ALTERNATIVES

SANIBEL'S DONAX FACILITY

Excess Capacity Exists for All Captiva

AWT Treatment Standard

OR

EXPAND FGUA SOUTH SEAS FACILITY

\$9 Million for Village + **\$0.6 Million** for All Captiva

Improves Treatment Standard to AWT

(Sources: City of Sanibel, FGUA)

COLLECTION ALTERNATIVES

Establish Sewer Line South Seas to Blind Pass

(Could Connect to Either FGUA or Donax)

\$16 Million

OR

Establish Sewer Line for Village Only

(Could Connect Only to FGUA)

\$4 Million

(Source: TKW 2018 Estimates)

INDIVIDUAL PROPERTY COSTS

ACCESS FEE: Fee Charged by Processor to Use Capacity

(Sanibel = \$5,000, FGUA = \$1,300)

CONNECTION: \$5,000 to Connect to Main Sewer Line

(TKW Estimated Average Cost Per OSTDS Property)

SEPTIC REMOVAL: \$2,000 to Remove Septic System

(TKW Estimated Average Cost Per OSTDS Property)

COST PRESENTATION

- Costs Presented on Individual Residential Property Basis
- Expansion/Collection System Costs Split Residential Vs. Commercial
 - Commercial Share = Commercial % of Total Waste Processed
 - Residential Share = Remainder of Project Cost
 - Individual Residential Property Owner Costs Totaled
 - All Residential Costs Totaled & Divided Evenly Among Residential Parcels
 - 30-Year Financing Assumed at 3.5% Interest Rate

SANIBEL PARTNERSHIP

- Sanibel Serves Captiva Outside South Seas (447 Parcels)
- Sanibel Builds & Operates \$16 Million Collection System
 - Collection System Funded By New Captiva Properties Served
 - Donax Plant Processes All Wastewater
 - Captiva Customers = Sanibel Customers

SANIBEL PARTNERSHIP... COSTS

- Total Cost Per Residential Property Served = \$36,036
 - Annual Cost Per Residential Property = \$1,959
 - Plus Annual Donax Utility Fee
 - Positive Support from Sanibel
 - Requires Sanibel/Lee County
 Interlocal Agreement

SANIBEL SERVES ALL CAPTIVA

- FGUA Service to South Seas Replaced by Sanibel Service
 - South Seas Collection System Remains in Place
 - Sanibel Builds/Operates New Collection System
 South Seas to Blind Pass
 - All Captiva Properties Fund New Collection System
 - All Properties Outside South Seas Use New Sewer Line
 - All Captiva Waste Processing Done at Sanibel's Donax Plant

SANIBEL @ ALL CAPTIVA... COSTS

- Total Cost Per Residential Property Served \$18,971
 - Annual Cost Per Residential Property \$1,031
 - Requires Sanibel/Lee County Interlocal Agreement
 - FGUA Would Require Compensation
 For Loss of Business

SHOULD SANIBEL REPLACE FGUA?

- Little Evidence of South Seas Dissatisfaction with FGUA Service
 - Future Issues with FGUA Plant Wetlands Location?
 - FGUA Enterprise System (not SS Customers) Pays "R&R" Costs
 - Reliability of FGUA Cost Allocation Policy Long Term?
 - No Nutrient Removal Standard at FGUA (Does Not Mean No Nutrients Removed)
 - Rationale For Two Plants in Same Area?
 - Should South Seas Properties Pay Equal Share?

EXPAND FGUATO ALL CAPTIVA

- \$9.6 Million FGUA Plant Expansion
- 70%/30% New Customer/ FGUA Cost Share (Preliminary FGUA Estimate Subject to Cost Allocation Study)
 - FGUA Builds/Operates\$16 million Collection System
 - New Service Area Customers Fund Collection System

EXPAND FGUA... COSTS

Cost Per New Residential Property Served - \$43,010
Annual Cost Per New Residential Property - \$2,339

Plus FGUA Annual Utility Charge

(Further Analysis Needed of FGUA Cost-Share)

EXPAND FGUATO VILLAGE ONLY

- Provide Central Sewer Service to High-density Village Area Only
- \$9 Million FGUA Plant Expansion
- 70%/30% New Customer/FGUA Cost Share
 - FGUA Builds/Operates \$4 Million
 Village Collection System

EXPAND FGUATO VILLAGE... COSTS

- New Service Area (Village)
 Customers Fund Collection System
 - Total Cost Per New Residential
 Properties Served \$35,890
- Annual Cost For New Residential
 Properties Served \$1,951

SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES

Sanibel (ex. South Seas) – 447 Parcels / \$36,036 / \$1,959

(South Seas Maintains Status Quo)

Sanibel (with South Seas) – 993 Parcels / \$18,971 / \$1,031

(Does Not Include Compensation to FGUA)

Expand FGUA to All Captiva – 447 Parcels / \$43,010 / \$2,339

(Further Analysis Of FGUA Cost Share Needed)

Expand FGUA to Village – 288 Parcels / \$35,890 / \$1,951

(Further Analysis Of FGUA Cost Share Needed)

DECISION FACTORS

- No Present-Day Environmental Imperative
 - Community and Commercial Disruption
 - Possible Loss of Development Barrier
 - Evolving Decision Environment
 - High Investment Cost

DECISION FACTORS

- Water Quality Community Values & Advocacy
 - OSTDS Likely Not Sustainable Long Term
- Multi Year Lead Time to Establish Central Sewer
 - Alternate Drain Field Land Uses
 - Long-term Property Values
 - Convenience & Reliability

Q&A and DISCUSSION

THANKYOU!

Captiva Community Panel Wastewater Committee Public Meeting Jan. 28, 2020 Jay W. Brown