

Captiva Community Panel

MINUTES

Oct. 13, 2020

Attending: David Mintz, Jay Brown, John Jensen, Ann Brady, Michael Lanigan, Mike Boris, Mike Kelly (phone), Anny Brady, Tony Lapi, Mike Mullins, Rene Miville, Antje Baumgarten

Audience: 15?

Convened at 9 a.m. with introductions and notice explanation. Sept. 8 minutes approved unanimously (Brown/Mullins).

Covid report: *Mintz:* New executive orders issued, explained. Phase 3 of plan in place, virtually eliminated most of the earlier restrictions... restaurants can have at least 50% capacity, but if local order mandates less than 100% must quantify economic impacts and health concerns. Other elements explained. Discrepancy between two sites, hard to reconcile difference in numbers. Positivity rate 4-5% on Florida site, Johns Hopkins site rate is 11%. *Miville:* 1:47 chance of dying in Florida based on numbers. *Mullins:* Off-the-cuff statistic, inappropriate. Discussion. *Mintz:* Florida death rate relatively low compared to other states.

LCSO: *Mintz:* Lt. Mike Sawicki had emergency call, cannot attend. Number of incidents this summer of people driving on the beach, meeting with FDEP and code enforcement to discuss and plan action. *Lapi:* Asked if problem was our entry, put up some “no vehicle” signs when we’re not operating. Not sure if it helped yet.

CEPD: *John Riegert:* Millage rate passed 3% increase over prior year budget. Parking lot revenues up. Information on mycepd.com. Apportionment under review, fund-raising options also under review. *Mullins:* Important information on return on investment for state and federal funding, staggering numbers. Important as we advocate to get more funding for Captiva. *Riegert:* Panel beach signs installed at four sites yesterday. *Mintz:* Explanation of background. CEPD worked with Sabal Signs, produced signs in two days, already installed. A level of efficiency not seen in a long time. *Mullins:* Apportionment process – yesterday’s meeting, slide on possible schedule was shown, is aspirational only but not approved by board.

Code update: *Mintz:* Sent out package to panel that include cover letter, chart showing where each provision would be placed in county regulations, very long document with county response and our comments. Explanation of structure and color coding. Tried to produce for the panel a second iteration of what we want to send to the county. Six additional documents, ordinances we will ask commission to pass on behalf of Captiva. Two places where regulations can be placed – Land Development Code or county’s Code of Ordinances. Staff concerned that LDC reflect land use issues, ordinance reflects all other issues. Ideas about what they want in which part, both will protect Captiva. We tried to put everything in our code, staff did not want to see that unless absolutely necessary, wanted to avoid duplication if at all possible. Long discussion about whether we could accept county definitions rather than having our own. Beach furniture, litter, OSTDS, lockoff units, etc. Explanation of tobacco products differences. Proposed we accept their definitions with minor differences from ours to lessen duplication. Minor changes in some of our other definitions, no impact on regulation so proposing we accept those. Staff also wanted to make modifications to RSC-2 language, we told them we could not accept those since they had never been discussed by the panel and the community. They were OK with that. *Brown:* Give us an example. *Mintz:* Delete the definition of a domestic employee which is referenced in RSC-2 language, they had a similar

definition elsewhere in the code. Definition of a guest, same reason. Definition of renter, only shows up in RSC-2 language. Again did not know impact and did not discuss with panel and community. Eliminate “caretaker” as a legacy term, could agree to that since it no longer exists in RSC-2 language. *Mullins*: Where did they find “caretaker”? *Mintz*: Leftover from old definition which has since been amended. *Brown*: I thought a caretaker unit was one of the three units allowed in that zoning? *Mintz*: Did not see it in current language. *Brown*: Allowed three buildings if lot is larger than an acre. *Mullins*: Not reason to take it out, no impact that way. *Mintz*: Changed to principal and two accessory buildings, changed years ago. Will look again.

Mintz: Staff thought proposal on straws, noise disturbances, septic inspection and maintenance, fertilizer and parking should be moved to Code of Ordinances. Can argue things both ways, did not think it was worth fighting about. Described chart of where regulations will be housed, LDC vs. Ordinances. Language basically has not changed, will be reviewed by various committee and approved by the Board of County Commissioners. First was omnibus amendment to Captiva Code, amending things already in the code. Whereas clauses, the justification for why we are making these amendments. Five separate ordinances with justification that amends an existing Lee County ordinance. Explained each amendment. *Mullins*: Once was Captiva specific code, they want to do away with that? *Mintz*: Not true. Captiva items were in Chapter 33 of the county Land Development Code, still will be there. We’re amending portions of Chapter 33 for these various items. Then we had things we wanted to add that were not in Chapter 33; we proposed to do that, but staff wants to amend the appropriate county ordinances. *Mullins*: Dune crossover discussion that CEPD might want to weigh in on. We asked you and were told county had that in place, but county undid that rule without consulting Captiva. The further language gets away from what is Captiva-specific, the more likely the county might make changes without consulting us. Should have an attorney review this, despite your good work on this. Uncomfortable that they will tell you one thing and do another without bothering to inform us. Be very cautious and have an attorney tell us about the ramifications. *Mintz*: Not removing anything from Chapter 33 or LDC, actually adding things to it. Adding five Captiva-related ordinances that are not necessarily tied to land use, already exist in county ordinances. Discussion. *Mintz*: About the Coastal Construction Control Line, we opposed move to remove line countywide, county concurred but made other changes involving dune walkovers to make it more restrictive. Staff retreated on that issue without letting us know. But it was not something we proposed to the county – just to maintain the CCCL. Chapter 33 is also part of the county regulations, so they could make changes there as well. Whatever panel wants, I’m OK with it.

Mintz: Let me finish report. Five ordinances, parking, septic regulation, fertilizers to be consistent with Sanibel, noise and parking. Shovel-ready paperwork for staff and commissioners to approve. Would like to go back to staff, we tried to accept as many of your concerns as possible, but these are the things we as a community want to be enacted. Send to commissioners as well as staff so they see how much of the responses we have accepted. *Brown*: Respond to more than staff? *Mintz*: Yes, advise our county commissioner about the package we have put together. *Brown*: Inflammatory to staff if you include commissioners? *Mintz*: No, staff wants commissioners to authorize them to continue working on those issues that staff views as a policy decision. Not bypassing county staff, just allow decision-makers understand what we have come up with. Educate them on how we’re working with staff. *Mullins*: Can lobby commissioners if we want something that staff does not support. *Mintz*: Not trying to alienate staff, would call key staff people to let them know what we plan to do. *Miville*: Commissioners are just going to defer to Desjarlais, need to have a meeting with him. *Mintz*: Would explain to Dave Loveland since he was on the call. *Miville*: Tell him that Rene went ahead and called Desjarlais, make me the bad guy.

Lanigan: Light trespass, don't remember a groundswell of support for Upper Captiva language, more a Village issue where lifestyle is more like Upper Captiva. I think adopting county language is fine. *Mintz:* What we're proposed is what panel voted on, virtually the same as Upper Captiva but less restrictive. Staff wasn't pushing their language, but whether it should be in the LDC. We pointed out that Upper Captiva language is there, so ours should be there. *Lanigan:* Not my issue, a lot of people on the south end of the island don't like the Upper Captiva language. Thought lighting was a lesser issue. *Mintz:* If county language was sufficient, willing to accept it to avoid duplication. But for everything I took the language our panel voted on, I did not add or subtract anything just moved it to an appropriate location. *Lanigan:* What's the difference with the county language? *Mintz:* County is not in LDC, don't have their language in front of me. What's in the omnibus ordinance is what the panel voted on to submit. *Lanigan:* RSC-2 language issues... cannot rent home to six nuns in RSC-2 home, can you rent to them in the Village. RSC-2 rules don't apply to the Village? *Mintz:* Only discussion about RSC-2 language was about "family," and we left it alone because we did not know implications. Unique to Captiva, affects owners there only, I did not look at the language because of that. *Lanigan:* Cited RSC-2 language, can you rent to them in Village? *Mintz:* Corporate retreats? Would violate residential zone. Don't know answer to your question as we have never discussed it. Compromise made in the past. *Miville:* History of RSC-2 zoning. Owner did not like all the commercial property along Captiva Drive, staff told here about RSC-2 zoning. Rhetorical question: We were really going to look into language to allow rezoning of RSC-2 properties so they could not be aggregated and rezone. Were supposed to do that five years, need to focus on that instead of these little code changes. *Lanigan:* Seven-day minimum rental rule applies to Village. *Mintz:* Does apply to Village. Based on the definition of residential property that included a minimum seven-day rental. Complicated due to state pre-emption rules. We incorporated preexisting definition to Captiva Plan to extend that to the Village. As to Rene's concern, we included language to avoid down-zoning and preserve minimum lot size. Certainly can revisit any of these issues. *Lanigan:* Have RSC-2 language as a future agenda item. *Mintz:* Look at definition we adopted into plan last amendment cycle. RSC-2 only zone that has specific number of days for minimum rental.

Mintz: This is a long process, hard to track the changes, that's why I worked to put materials together in a way that is clear for staff and committees. Staff will still have some concerns, county thinks one size fits all is easier to administer. *Brown:* Went through this long process to evaluate all these regulations, completed Plan three years ago and spent more than two years working on Code, developing specific new items to improve quality of life. *Mintz:* To implement plan. *Brown:* Spent all that time, send them out to public for comment, reviewed it all again, decided on a final version to submit to staff. You hear from the county, made changes to accommodate with making substantive changes from what we originally submitted, still preserved everything we agreed to but in a form that's more acceptable to staff and commissioners, asking us to approve your repackaging. Not a time to re-litigate everything we did before, not to revisit all the issues we discussed, just confirm that you have preserved what matters to us and approve moving to the next step. *Mintz:* Yes. Would rather have everything in one place but understand their structure and their needs to have language in certain places. *Mullins:* Still concerned about those items they want to move from Chapter 33. I would like to have an attorney look at this before rushing it in. Optics of having something not in a Captiva-specific code a concern for me. *Mintz:* Not removing anything from Chapter 33, just things we proposed to go into Code that staff says belongs in certain ordinances that define those issues countywide. *Mullins:* Need to understand if we lose any control over that by moving it out of Chapter 33. *Mintz:* Would rather fight over the substance of the issue than where it goes. *Mullins:* Compromising at the end, should get support of our county commissioner to see if we can get it the way we want.

Boris: Support recommendation based on your understanding of the issue and your work with staff.
Baumgarten: Would suggest we ask an attorney, get a second opinion. No risk to get legal advice. *Brown:* Have attorney review what David has done and bless it or suggest options? *Mullins:* Focused on the placement of items in LDC vs. ordinances. Ask Ralf Brookes, or get another attorney. *Brown:* Can we get his opinion in a reasonable timeframe? *Mullins:* When does new commissioner get sworn in? Essentially unopposed. Discussion. *Mintz:* No objection, only concern is we don't lose a lot of time. *Brown:* Approve what you recommended pending a review by Ralf Brookes. *Baumgarten:* Why concern about time? *Mintz:* Not time, momentum. Staff responded July 31, we met with them Aug. 24. *Brown:* Maybe Ralf can look at this in a week and get back to us. *Mintz:* No problem with that. Discussion. *Mintz:* Should not revisit substance of proposals, we may have to meet again over substantive concerns raised by commissioners. If I had a choice, I'd have one big code for Captiva. As long as we're part of Lee County, we're not going to have our own set of laws, work with them on how they structure their laws. Staff says there's a parking ordinance already, just amend that ordinance rather than putting that into your code. That's the way the county decided to structure their laws. County may think it's easier to amend something in the ordinances than in code. *Mullins:* Not a question of trust at all. Make motion to refer this proposal as presented to Ralf Brookes to make a recommendation before the next panel meeting whether there's any implications to the changes proposed. *Brown:* All agree with what David is doing pending a review from Ralf? Approve recommendation at next panel meeting? (Lanigan second) *Brown:* Approve as long as Ralf responds so the panel can act at the next panel meeting. *Boris:* Judgment call to work within structure of the county, Ralf's feedback should include if it's the more efficient way to do it, not to do anything that would jeopardize our success. *Mullins:* David can add that stipulation to the materials he forwards to Ralf. *Mintz:* We know what we want, it's a judgment call a negotiation to get the county to approve what we want. Issue is not to change substance, just how it will be implemented. Septic regulation, county utilities reviewed ordinance and supported it, said it could be something the county should look at countywide. Listed other topics. Mullins is concerned about where they are placed, which was done based on what staff suggested. Ask Ralf to put them back into Code or if we lose some control by putting in the ordinances. *Jensen:* Any idea what Ralf will charge? *Mullins:* Less than \$200/hour. Expect it to be reasonable. Discussion. Unanimous approval, Mintz abstained? Discussion about CEPD and walkovers again. *Mintz:* Trying to make it easy for the county but no guarantees at the end of this tunnel.

Roosevelt Channel: *Mintz:* Just got email from county, in process of compiling and analyzing manatee data, had a preliminary discussion with Fish & Wildlife Commission on comparable effort. Don't know how it will go but we have enough to keep the conversation moving forward. Asked about speeding between Roosevelt Channel and Blind Pass Bridge, said it's a slow= speed zone and they will speak to LCSO to enforce.

Iguana control: *Mintz:* Gooderham sent us a list of all property owners on Captiva. Will ask him to make it larger, take out excess data to make it more legible and get it out to the panel and the email list so people can check off those people they know to pursue petitions. Creating other materials to post on the website, will send it out to email list and property owners list. Need activists on panel and in community to let us know who they know. *Brown:* Making call not the best next step. Need to get materials ready and get ready to get information to people. *Mintz:* Not asking anyone to call anyone right now, just to identify who know whom when it comes to that point, gets a sense of how many people we think we can reach out to. He'll prepare documents for panel to review. *Brown:* Check the list and return it to him? *Mintz:* Yes. *Brown:* Sent in such a way that we can check off the names and send it back to him. Discussion.

Nominating Committee: *Mintz:* At October meeting, bylaws says nominations are announced by panel, CCA or CPOA. Mintz and Boris are terming out, so one panel appointment and one CPOA. Two available for second terms, Jensen and Baumgarten. Nominating Committee has two seats to fill, CPOA has two as well. Panel nominations don't have to be accepted by panel, if anyone wants to submit their resume by November meeting we will review them in November. Panel votes on filling those two slots in December, either the committee slate or the at-large nominations. CPOA nominations are not contested. *Boris:* Panel knows them both. John Jensen has been on panel for some time, Linda Laird has been very active with panel. Both highly qualified candidates, very committed to island and our work. Discussion. *Mintz:* Two CPOA appointments... Miville has advised that CPOA will nominate Baumgarten for a second term, and Bob Walter for a new term. Resumes attached. *Brown:* Great nominations. Should we put out email to public about nominations, vote in December, and to encourage submissions of interest by Nov. 1. *Mintz:* Can do that. *Lanigan:* What did committee do to solicit candidates? *Boris:* Did not do a solicitation, had a list of interested parties and incumbents. Can solicit interest before next meeting. *Lanigan:* Let's put process on agenda for discussion in the future. *Mintz:* Will ask Gooderham to solicit interest prior to November meeting. *Brown:* Nominating Committee does not control who the panel selects. *Lanigan:* Yes, but it looks like an inside job. A lot of the same people, new people would be welcome. *Boris:* Support that suggestion. *Mullins:* Discussion of CEPD commissioners, solicit any interested parties to let us know. Discussion.

Bylaws amendment: *Mintz:* Second notice of change in bylaws to clarify term status of those appointed to fill a vacant panel seat. Explanation of process and amendment. Read amendment. Will be able to vote on this change at November meeting.

Wastewater Committee: *Brown:* Recap on status, MSTBU proposal for collection system for properties outside of South Seas. Detailed engineering study is next step so community would understand project details, impact and costs. Also looking at evaluation of STEP system as an option for wastewater management, liquid-only treatment. Two possible vendors – Kimley Horn and Consor. Trying to get Lee County to fund both studies at approx. \$100,000 total. Got email from Assistant County Manager Chris Brady, who thinks we need to form an MSTU to fund the studies. I don't agree with that, we pay a lot in taxes out here and think the county should pay for the studies. Incoming Commissioner Kevin Ruane is supportive of system and studies, hope to work with him on county funding once he is in office. Sorry we're not making progress, hope we can get funding nailed down and get momentum. *Jensen:* Leaning toward central sewer or STEP? *Brown:* STEP is unproven technology and we'd need to know its viability. It would not help people who operate their own package plants, just increase their costs without much benefit. Still requires people to maintain septic tanks. My preference based on what we know right now is central sewer, but it's a good idea to have STEP evaluated by an engineering firm. *Lapi:* If you go with a STEP system, if we have a package plant can we opt out or do we have to go on this system? *Brown:* Don't know answer, state law might require participation. *Lapi:* But is STEP considered a central system? *Brown:* Don't know. *Lapi:* If our package plant is working OK, STEP system is no help. With central sewer, it will cost us more than now but could free up land used by the package plant for other uses. *Brown:* Perhaps STEP could serve only properties with septic system, and package plants could continue as is. A lot we don't know, why we need a good engineering evaluation. Investigating it is not an endorsement. *Mullins:* Not a rejection either, lower costs could make approval much easier. Need to wait for report from the engineers. Discussion.

Sea level rise: *Mintz:* Trying to find Linda Laird. (Break)

Mullins: Someone texted me privately to ask if CPOA had equally done a community solicitation for its open positions. *Miville:* Did we put out an ad? No. Did we reach out to people to see if they were

interested in serving? Yes. How many now on the panel were brought in by me? We have a strong trickle-up process to engage the few full-time residents available. No public advertising, but a lot of people were reached out to about that, and I'm happy to talk to anyone who is interested. *Mintz*: The way the bylaws are structured, there are 11 members of the panel. Because of how the panel was created and the compromises that were made with the county and on Captiva, CPOA are entitled to a certain number of appointments since it formed and operated the panel under its auspices. The CCA is entitled to a certain number of appointments, and the panel has authority to make the balance of the appointments. It's all spelled out in the bylaws.

SLR: *Laird*: Scheduling virtual community meeting on Nov. 19 to present the issues of sea level rise and present the findings on vulnerabilities we presented to you all already. Will send a letter to the community on Nov. 3, follow up with emails to partner lists and the media, follow up to ensure people know how to participate. After we're done, will upload slides to the panel website. Working on a list of stakeholders, will invite Commissioner Ruane and county staff, hopefully someone from Upper Captiva as well. *Brown*: To get coverage from the island paper, need to notify Tiffany as soon as possible. Have her interview you or something to show what the committee has been working on. Would make a good story for her. *Brady*: Will call her this week, once letter is finalized. *Laird*: Looked at a FDEP grant that we could not apply for and CEPD did not qualify. Lee County is pursuing something else, that we might be able to be a part of... supportive of idea. Panel just could not apply on its own. Aptim, Tom Pierro spoke to the committee on what they are doing on behalf of the CEPD. Key takeaways are Captiva is considered a model for beach renourishment. Renourishment helps bay side by reducing washovers. Sediment is addressed by Redfish Pass and Blind Pass management plans and the nourishment projects. Overall strategic beach management plan with FDEP, using offshore sand to maintain beaches. Want to get to an overall plan that includes the bayside, not there yet. Will be coming up with our own plan, adaptation plan is next and we'll need technical support for that which is what we hoped FDEP could pay for. Develop plan for technical work and pursue funding through panel and CEPD. *Mullins*: Nicole Sharp with Aptim was formerly head of beach management for Broward County. A number of documents came up in the discussion on resiliency, living shorelines, seawalls, and other issues. She send all those documents to us, shared with committee and sent out in a Beach Brief by CEPD. A lot of useful information. *Laird*: Extremely useful to committee, thanks to CEPD. *Lanigan*: Is there audio of this meeting? *Laird*: Did not record, but there are slides from their presentations. *Brown*: Is it possible to gather information from that meeting and put those into a single email to panel members? *Mullins*: CEPD staff are looking at creating a clearinghouse of documents and information on beach management and CEPD, will likely include resiliency. *Brown*: More comprehensive than what I'm suggesting. *Mullins*: Will be on CEPD website, also YouTube videos from FSBPA conference that were very Captiva-specific. *Mintz*: When county said they could not sponsor our request for FDEP grant, they said they have applied for a FDIO grant for sea level rise study of entire county, invited committee to participate. We have requested a copy of their grant request so committee can assess how Captiva can be part of that. *Laird*: Move forward with adaptation planning that's Captiva focused, as other parts of area come up to speed will integrate with overall plans.

Golf cart safety: *Mintz*: Based on the golf cart committee's work, we sent recommendations to Lee DOT to improve signage on Captiva Drive. They sent people out to look at signage, said they concurred with improvements (showed "No Carts" sign) at south end of Tween Waters. Make it clearer that you can't take a cart beyond of the limits marked by signs. Creating signage and placing it at the south end of the golf cart zone. Had some questions about suggestions around the curves, will discuss prior to next meeting. Talked about requiring sticker on golf carts on Captiva, sent me some proposed language developed by committee and island rental companies. Will look at options to create stickers without legal issues.

Development: *Boris:* Ann has done work on membership, the focus of our near-term plans. Due to Covid, not planning any events in the near future. *Brady:* Two letters, one to homeowners and to business community. Someone reached out to me after last meeting to share some thoughts about program, also recommended reaching out to business. Homeowners letter could go out soon with your approval. Spoke with TJ Walker, ready to put information up on website soon. Can already accept payments, need to check about monthly payments. Important that website stay up to date, not convinced that's the case now. It's a job and has to be maintained. Willing to take that if no one else wants to, work with Walker and Gooderham on updating website weekly. Clearer, more easy to navigate. Committee to discuss design changes? Important if we're driving people to the website that they be able to get timely information, panel nominations is a good example of something that could be done through the website. Not everyone will use it, but we don't have any social media. That is another discussion, a very consuming job. Invite any feedback on letters. Homeowners would go out via mail and email. Businesses would not launch until January, a letter and a conversation with them to get their support. Our campaign, not my campaign. *Miville:* Thanks for volunteering with website, Walker nice to work with. Wasn't website part of Gooderham's job? *Brady:* He does update website, limited ability in WordPress. Some updates more complex than that. *Miville:* Walker very reasonable, support using him. *Brady:* Has to have someone dedicated to it, we will make changes we can make and ask Walker to do the ones we cannot handle. *Mullins:* Program that Brady created should get full backing of panel. CEPD interim staff have also done work in WordPress, will ask them what they can do. CEPD parking feedback popular, a Google site. Need to look at Facebook, Instagram; life has changed since this was started and we should look at hiring someone monthly to work with sites and social media. Post hot button information to increase visitation and participation. *Mintz:* Summary of Brady's offer. Accept those ideas and thank her for that, help her as she finds needs that should be addressed. *Brady:* About the member benefits, I made those up, for upper level members they would have a cocktail event at a Community Panel member's home. Can't include that unless there is a panel member willing to do that. *Miville:* I'll do one. *Mullins:* I did a fund-raiser at Bali Hai years ago, businesses contributed to help very generously. Find someone who'll provide a property, ask businesses to pitch in, could make money in the process. *Brady:* I'm asking for something smaller, a party for high level donor. A thank you for their donation. They may not take us up on that, but we need to have volunteers to do that. Discussion. *Miville:* Example of event done in conjunction with South Seas. *Brady:* Great ideas, but fall under fund-raising events. I'm talking something smaller. Agreement it can be included in a letter. *Brown:* Cocktail party and special briefing from the panel president. *Brady:* Benefit, not a solicitation. *Boris:* Lower level benefit, offered tickets on cruises. Great idea, but that will be a cut against other fund-raising. *Brady:* Hope that if they bring friends on the cruise, we might get them as future contributors.

Communications: *Mintz:* Antje has asked about sending a note to Jennifer Lusk who is now deputy principal at Sanibel School, congratulate her on her role (read text). Panel consensus to send.

Captiva Drive: *Mintz:* Engineer provided summary of right of way donations and temporary construction easements (in packet). County is going to send letters to property owners to pursue right of way transfers, I contacted properties already and they have been cooperative. Just need to secure funding, have reached out again to Commissioner Manning who committed he would find funding.

Communications: *Baumgarten:* When we update website, keep eye on email list to keep expanding reach. Effective and saves us money.

Taxation: *Mullins:* Have sufficient information on millage, can report on how much Captiva contributes to the county. Have a lot of information on bed taxes, pursuing information on transparency from state

and other reports. CEPD will be producing a report before year's end, paying a staggering amount. Hope to pursue discussions with the county on how it quantifies what it gives back to Captiva.

Future issues: Save for November or afterwards.

Financial report: *Mintz:* Projected loss of \$30,000, cash on hand of \$90,000, expect a balance of \$60,000 at end of year. *Baumgarten:* No significant changes, just need to look at Zoom costs and if we will continue hosting meetings that way. Would like to talk about another fund-raiser in December, talked with Tony Lapi about event on the beach or elsewhere. *Mintz:* November meeting will include Zoom if we can, need to see what governor's order is on in-person meetings by then.

Other business: *Jensen:* Will county be paying for Alfredo for next year? *Mintz:* Yes, in the MSTU proposal. *Brown:* What is procedure to contact Alfredo if you have an iguana on your property? *Jensen:* Send out his number, contact him directly and he'll put you on a list to visit when he can. Discussion. *Baumgarten:* Send email to list to contact Jensen if they spot an iguana. *Jensen:* Use email address so there is a record of who gave him permission. Discussion. *Mintz:* Any comments from the public, use the Chat function. Any other business from the panel? Discussion from Miville.

Meeting adjourned at 12:03 p.m.